## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/534,094
 GOMEZ CAUDEVILLA ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHELE JACOBSON	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) MICHELE JACOBSON.	(3)Russ Warnock.		
(2) <u>Carol Chaney</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 October 2008.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	) was not reached. h) № N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amendments to claim 25 and prior art reference Cinello was discussed with regard to claim 25.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Michele L. Jacobson/ Examiner. Art Unit 1794			